From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

Tn٠ VENNER, SHIPLEY LLP Attn. Elend, Almut 20 Little Britain

London, Greater London UNITED KINGDOM

RECEIVED

2 8 JUL 2005

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION

(PCT Rule 44.1)

See paragraphs 1 and 4 below

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

28/07/2005

Applicant's or agent's file reference

ASE/45032PCT

International application No.

PCT/GB2005/050016

FOR FURTHER ACTION

International filing date

(day/month/year)

15/02/2005

Applicant

NICHE GENERICS LIMITED

1. 🗶	The applicant is hereby notified that the International search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority have been established and are transmitted herewith.
------	--

Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19:

The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the International Application (see Rule 46):

When? The time limit for filing such amendments is normally 2 months from the date of transmittal of the International Search Report; however, for more details, see the notes on the accompanying sheet.

International Bureau of WIPO, 34 chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Fascimile No.: (41–22) 740.14.35 Where? Directly to the

For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accompanying sheet.

The applicant is hereby notified that no international search report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the international Searching Authority are transmitted herewith.

With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:

the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices. no decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.

4. Reminders

Shortly after the expiration of **18 months** from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90*bis.*1 and 90*bis.*3, respectively, before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication.

The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy of such comments to all designated Offices unless an International preliminary examination report has been or is to be established. These comments would also be made available to the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date.

Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of some designated Offices, a demand for International preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices.

In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19 months.

See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.

Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2

NL-2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,

Eva Bohácová

Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions respectively.

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international polication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only.

What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the International phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

When?

Within 2 months from the date of transmitted of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been is filed, see below.

How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

Notes to Form PCT/ISA/220 (first sheet) (January 1994)

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new:
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]:
 "Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers;
 claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- (Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11): "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- (Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims):
 "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged: claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added," or
 - *Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added.* or *Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged.*
- [Where various kinds of amendments are made]:
 "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

"Statement under article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

It must be in the language in which the international appplication is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preterably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the same time of filing the amendments with the International Bureau, also file a copy of such amendments with the International Preliminary Examining Authority (see Rule 62.2(a), first sentence).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, where upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

Notes to Form PCT/ISA/220 (second sheet) (January 1994)

: : .

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER	as well	see Form PCT/ISA/220 as, where applicable, item 5 below.				
ASE/45032PCT	ACTION						
International application No.	International filing date (day/mont	vyear)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)				
PCT/GB2005/050016	15/02/2005		27/02/2004				
Applicant							
NICHE GENERICS LIMITED							
This International Search Report has bee according to Article 18. A copy is being tr	n prepared by this International Sea ansmitted to the International Burea	rching Auti	nority and is transmitted to the applicant				
This International Search Report consists	of a total ofsh	eets.					
X It is also accompanied by	a copy of each prior art document	ated in this	report.				
language in which it was filed, un	Basis of the report a. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
The international this Authority (Ru	search was carried out on the basisule 23.1(b)).	of a trans	lation of the international application furnished to				
b. With regard to any nucle	otide and/or amino acid sequenc	e disclosed	in the international application, see Box No. I.				
2. Certain claims were for	und unsearchable (See Box II).						
3. Unity of invention is lac	cking (see Box III).						
4. With regard to the title,							
	ubmitted by the applicant.						
[shed by this Authority to read as fol						
STABLE PHARMACEUTICAL	COMPOSITION COMPRISI	NG AN	ACE INHIBITOR				
F 14/14h so good to the shakes A							
5. With regard to the abstract,	submitted by the applicant.						
the text has been estable	ished, according to Rule 38,2(b), by	this Autho	ority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant				
may, within one month f	rom the date of mailing of this interr	ational sea	arch report, submit comments to this Authority.				
6. With regard to the drawings,							
	published with the abstract is Figur	e No. <u>2</u>					
X as suggested by							
	his Authority, because the applicant	failed to s	uggest a figure.				
as selected by t	his Authority, because this figure be	tter ch arac	cterizes the Invention.				
b. none of the figures is to	be published with the abstract.						

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No PCT/GB2005/050016

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 7 A61K47/44 A61K A61K9/20 A61P9/00 A61K31/40 A61K31/403 A61K31/47 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC 7 **A61K** Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used) EPO-Internal, WPI Data, PAJ, MEDLINE, BIOSIS, EMBASE C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to daim No. Category * 1-4, X WO 03/077929 A (DELTA HF: EYJOLFSSON 6 - 41REYNIR) 25 September 2003 (2003-09-25) 44-49 page 2, lines 1-27 examples 1-3 X US 5 562 921 A (SHERMAN ET AL) 1-4, 8 October 1996 (1996-10-08) 6-41 44-46 column 1, line 59 - column 2, line 2 claim 8; example 6 DE 203 18 151 U1 (SANDOZ GMBH, KUNDL) X 1,3,4, 6-38. 22 January 2004 (2004-01-22) 40-49 examples 1-3 -/--Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. Patent family members are listed in annex. X Special categories of cited documents: T later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the 'A' document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance Invention "E" earlier document but published on or after the International "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed Invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such docu-*O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or ments, such combination being obvious to a person skilled *P* document published prior to the International filing date but later than the priority date claimed *&* document member of the same patent family Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of mailing of the international search report 7 July 2005 28/07/2005 Authorized officer Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Vermeulen, S Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

1

.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/GB2005/050016

		PC1/GB2005/050016			
C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category • Citation of document, with Indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No.					
Calagory *	Guaran of Goodings, with insection, whole appropriate, of the representation passages				
A	WO 03/059330 A (RANBAXY LABORATORIES LIMITED; BAHL, DEEPAK; KOCHHAR, RAVI; SHARMA, PUN) 24 July 2003 (2003-07-24) page 1, line 15 - page 2, line 15	1-49			
1	US 5 442 008 A (FUELBERTH ET AL) 15 August 1995 (1995-08-15) column 1, line 60 - column 2, line 56 column 3, lines 35-64	1-49			

1

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

information on patent family members

International Application No
PCT/GB2005/050016

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 03077929	Α	25-09-2003	AU	2003208599 A	1 29-09-2003
		22 22 2300	EP	1531831 A	_
			WO	03077929 A	
US 5562921	A	08-10-1996	CA	2128199 A	1 16-01-1996
DE 20318151	U1	22-01-2004	AT	6779 U	
			DE	10354862 A	
			WO	2004064809 A	
			NL	1024899 C	1 13-01-2004
WO 03059330	Α	24-07-2003	AU	2003201071 A	
			BR	0306928 A	
			EP	1467717 A	
			WO	03059330 A	1 24-07-2003
US 5442008	A	15-08-1995	DE	3739690 A	
			ΑT	74513 T	
			AU	2581888 A	
			CA	1338344 C	
			CN	1042917 A	
			DE	3869919 D	
			DK	653688 A	
			EP	0317878 A	
			ES	2033400 T	
			FI	885398	
			GR	* · · · ·	28-04-1993
			HU	48455 /	
			IE	61173 E	
			JP	1165596	
			JP	2049604 (
			JP KR	7068140 8 9704908 8	
					_
			NO NZ	885213 / 227032 /	- ,-,
			PH	27416 /	
			PT	89061 /	
			US	5151433	
			ZA	8808734	

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

Applicant's or agent's file reference See form PCT/SA/220 Applicant's or agent's file reference See form PCT/SA/220 International application No. PCT/GB2005/050016 International application No. PCT/GB2005/050016 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC A61K47/44, A61K9/20, A61P9/00, A61K31/40, A61K31/403, A61K31/47 Applicant NICHE GENERICS LIMITED 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive stems applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement application Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the International application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application Further ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usual written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority (*IPEA*). Howe the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chos International Bureau under Rule 66.1b/s(b) that written opinion of the IPEA and the chos will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA	OPINION OF THE SEARCHING AUTHORITY Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)			
International application No. PCT/GB2005/050016 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC A61K47/44, A61K9/20, A61P9/00, A61K31/40, A61K31/403, A61K31/47 Applicant NICHE GENERICS LIMITED 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive stems applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement application Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the International application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usual written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority (*IPEA*). Howe the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chos will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA and the IPEA and the IPEA and the chos will not be so considered.				
PCT/GB2005/050016 15.02.2005 27. International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC A61K47/44, A61K9/20, A61P9/00, A61K31/40, A61K31/403, A61K31/47 Applicant NICHE GENERICS LIMITED 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: □ Box No. I Basis of the opinion □ Box No. II Priority □ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive stem Box No. IV Lack of unity of Invention □ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to nove applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VII Certain documents cited □ Box No. VII Certain defects in the International application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application	DN			
Applicant NICHE GENERICS LIMITED 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. II Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive stems and explanations supporting such statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to nove applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the International application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 7. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usual written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). Howe the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chost International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA.	ity date <i>(day/month/year)</i> 12.2004			
 Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive steen and statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to nove applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement application and explanations supporting such statement box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the International application box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usual written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). Howe the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chost International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA 				
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: □ Box No. I Basis of the opinion □ Box No. II Priority □ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability □ Box No. IV Lack of unity of Invention □ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement □ Box No. VI Certain documents cited □ Box No. VII Certain defects in the International application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority				
·	the applicant is invited to efore the expiration of three			

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Vermeulen, S

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7520

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2005/050016

	Box N	o. I Basis of the opinion
1.	With re	egard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	lai	nis opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search nder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With reneces	egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and sary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type	e of material:
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. forn	nat of material:
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c. time	e of filing/furnishing:
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3	h C	n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating there as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as ppropriate, were furnished.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2005/050016

	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
The	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:						
	the entire international application,						
\boxtimes	claims Nos. 25						
bec	because:						
Ø	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 25 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):						
	see separate sheet						
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):						
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.						
	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.						
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:						
	the written form		has not been furnished				
			does not comply with the standard				
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished				
			does not comply with the standard				
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.						
П	See senarate sheet for further	deta	ile				

4. .

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43*bis*.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

5

No: Claims

1-4,6-49

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

5

No: Claims

1-4,6-49

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-24,26-49

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

PCT/GB2005/050016

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Claim 25 relates to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of this claim (Article 34(4)(a)(I) PCT).

Re Item V

r. 1

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following document/s/:

D1: WO 03/077929 A (DELTA HF; EYJOLFSSON, REYNIR) 25 September 2003

D2: US-A-5 562 921 (SHERMAN ET AL) 8 October 1996

D3: DE 203 18 151 U1 (SANDOZ GMBH, KUNDL) 22 January 2004

D4: WO 03/059330 A (RANBAXY LABORATORIES LIMITED; BAHL, DEEPAK; KOCHHAR, RAVI; SHARMA, PUN) 24 July 2003

D5: US-A-5 442 008 (FUELBERTH ET AL) 15 August 1995

The compositions, methods and uses according to independent claims 1, 25, 26, 27, 34, 36 and 39 are not novel (Art.33(2) PCT) in view of prior art disclosures which can be taken from D1-D3.

D1 (cf. passages cited in the ISR) discloses the use of 0.25-5% of glyceryl dibehenate as lubricant in tablet formulations of fosinopril. Glyceryl dibehenate is taught to provide increased stability of the fosinopril as compared to formulations comprising e.g. magnesium stearate or sodium stearyl fumarate as lubricant.

Similarly, D2 (cf. passages cited in the ISR) discloses the use of 5,5% glyceryl monostearate as lubricant in tablet formulations of enalapril. Glyceryl monostearate is taught to provide increased stability of the enalapril as compared to formulations comprising magnesium stearate as lubricant.

D3 (cf. passages cited in the ISR) discloses ramipril tablet formulations comprising 1.9-2.2% glyceryl dibehenate (as lubricant).

The dependent claims 2-4 and 6-49 also do not contain any additional features which render the claimed subject-matter novel and/or inventive (Art.33(2)-(3) PCT) over the state of the art. The specific embodiments are at least anticipated by one or more of D1, D2 and D3 (cf. ISR).

Claim 5 is considered novel and inventive (Article 33(2)-(3) PCT), since none of the prior art documents discloses a formulation of ACE inhibitor comprising the claimed amounts of C_{16} - C_{28} glyceride. Furthermore, none of the documents suggests the addition of the defined amounts of glyceride in order to solve the stability problem related to mechanical stress induced degradation of ACE inhibitors. For example, documents D4 and D5 deal with the mechanical stress-induced degradation problem, but propose a different solution.

Re Item VII

137

Certain defects in the international application

Claim 22 contains a reference to the description and/or the drawings. According to Rule 6.2(a) PCT, claims should not contain such references except where absolutely necessary, which is not the case here.